



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,851 | 12/30/2003 | Ingo Zenz | 6570P017 | 9437 |
| 45962 | 7590 | 01/13/2009 | | |
| SAP/BSTZ BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040 | | | EXAMINER BROMELL, ALEXANDRIA Y | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2167 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/13/2009 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/749,851

Applicant(s)

ZENZ, INGO

Examiner

ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL

Art Unit

2167

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ALEXANDRIA Y. BROMELL.(3) James Howard Reg. No. 56,377.(2) Shahid Alam.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Heath.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Howard gave an overview of the invention, and explained claim 11 in depth. Discussion was made about paragraph four of claim 11, and Mr. Howard agreed to amend the claim language in that paragraph as discussed. Heath reference deficiencies were discussed. 101 Rejection and possible amendments were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shahid Al Alam/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162